Judging Sheet

AWARD:	**Team**	
Name of team:		
Name of Judge:		
	Nomination is GDPR compliant[1]	Yes / No
	Used appropriate form	Yes / No
	Has <u>not</u> won <u>this award</u> before[2]	Yes / No
Scoring Key (Do **not** use half points)	0-1: Inadequate or inappropriate evidence in the nomination 2-3: Minimal evidence in the nomination 4-5: Moderate evidence in the nomination 6-7: Good evidence in the nomination 8-9: Strong evidence in the nomination 10: Excellent evidence in the nomination	
A. Clear outline of the team in relation to the case/context: including what they do, who is involved and how they contribute to the team objectives.	Score: #	
B. Demonstrates a contemporary, innovative and evidence-based approach with outcomes that are relevant and, as far as possible, measurable. Consider how the team evaluates its practice/performance in order to improve	Score: #	
C. A clear rationale for the approach, how the team works together, and in collaboration with others, to provide/design/deliver VR that is professional and effective. Consider the benefits/value of the team approach/model employed: e.g., how the whole is greater than the sum of its parts.	Score: #	
	TOTAL:	**#** (out of 30)

NB: Testimonials and any other supporting evidence are not scored separately. They should be considered only in relation to how they support/evidence the degree of achievement in the 3 scored sections above. E.g. strong, clear and relevant testimonials will strengthen one or more of the scored components.
NB: Wordcounts are indicative with a 20% tolerance either way
Refer to Guidelines and the nomination form for the information provided to the nominators.

[1] All applications must conform with GDPR. Other than the nominee and any nominator, no individual should be identifiable within the nomination or the appendices (e.g. client/ patient/ customer/ service user/ colleague etc.)
[2] This award is open to teams practicing in any aspect of VR in the UK including advisors, case managers and assessors. Previous winners in the team category are not eligible to be nominated again in this category.