

## Judging Sheet

<b>AWARD:</b>	<b>Project</b>	
<b>Name of Project:</b>		
<b>Name of Judge:</b>		
<b>Essential entry criteria:</b> Must answer yes to all	Member <sup>1</sup>	Yes / No
	Nomination is GDPR compliant <sup>2</sup>	Yes / No
	Used appropriate form	Yes / No
	Has <u>not</u> won this award <u>for this project</u> before <sup>3</sup>	Yes / No
<b>Scoring Key</b> (Do <b>not</b> use half points)	0-1: Inadequate or inappropriate evidence in the nomination 2-3: Minimal evidence in the nomination 4-5: Moderate evidence in the nomination 6-7: Good evidence in the nomination 8-9: Strong evidence in the nomination 10: Excellent evidence in the nomination	
A. Strong rationale for the project: It is relevant and important to VR practice and addresses unmet needs.		Score: #
B. Clear details and description of the project e.g., its aims/objectives, what is done and by whom, why it is done this way, relation to the evidence base (including where there is none)		Score: #
C. Impact of the project: Measurable and positive outcomes, including as appropriate: discussion of outcomes/findings; client/user/patient/student satisfaction; potential impact and/implications for the future of VR practice/research/education		Score: #
D. The nomination should demonstrate how/why it should be considered innovative/ high quality etc. What makes the project outstanding to warrant nomination?		Score: #
	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b># (out of 40)</b>

**NB:** Testimonials and any other supporting evidence are not scored separately. They should be considered only in relation to how they support/evidence the degree of achievement in the 3 scored sections above. E.g. strong, clear and relevant testimonials will strengthen one or more of the scored components.

**NB:** Wordcounts are indicative with a 20% tolerance either way

**Refer to Guidelines and the nomination form for the information provided to the nominators.**

<sup>1</sup> The organisation or at least one of the members of the project team should be a member

<sup>2</sup> All applications must conform with GDPR. See Guidance document for details re consent

<sup>3</sup> Any initiative, research, or education project that has previously won, in any of these categories, **cannot be reconsidered** for a period of three years. However, the same organisation and/or individual responsible are welcome to submit entries for other work.



# Judging Sheet