| **AWARD:** | **Project** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Project:** |  | | |
| **Name of Judge:** |  | | |
| **Essential entry criteria:**  Must answer yes to all | Nomination is GDPR compliant[[1]](#footnote-0) | | Yes / No |
| Used appropriate form | | Yes / No |
| Has not won this award for this project before[[2]](#footnote-1) | | Yes / No |
| **Scoring Key**  (Do **not** use half points) | 0-1: Inadequate or inappropriate evidence in the nomination  2-3: Minimal evidence in the nomination  4-5: Moderate evidence in the nomination  6-7: Good evidence in the nomination  8-9: Strong evidence in the nomination  10: Excellent evidence in the nomination | | |
| 1. Strong rationale for the project:   It is relevant and important to VR practice and addresses unmet needs. | | Score: # | |
| 1. Clear details and description of the project   e.g., its aims/objectives, what is done and by whom, why it is done this way, relation to the evidence base (including where there is none) | | Score: # | |
| 1. Impact of the project:   Measurable and positive outcomes, including as appropriate: discussion of outcomes/findings; client/user/patient/student satisfaction; potential impact and/implications for the future of VR practice/research/education | | Score: # | |
| 1. The nomination should demonstrate how/why it should be considered innovative/ high quality etc. What makes the project outstanding to warrant nomination? | | Score: # | |
| **TOTAL:** | | **# (out of 40)** | |

| ***NB:*** *Testimonials and any other supporting evidence are not scored separately. They should be considered only in relation to how they support/evidence the degree of achievement in the 3 scored sections above. E.g. strong, clear and relevant testimonials will strengthen one or more of the scored components.*  ***NB:*** *Wordcounts are indicative with a 20% tolerance either way*  ***Refer to Guidelines and the nomination form for the information provided to the nominators.*** |
| --- |

1. All applications must conform with GDPR. See Guidance document for details re consent [↑](#footnote-ref-0)
2. Any initiative, research, or education project that has previously won, in any of these categories, cannot be reconsidered for a period of three years. However, the same organisation and/or individual responsible are welcome to submit entries for other work. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)