|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **AWARD:** | **Innovation/ Research/ Education Project** |
| **Nominee:** |  |
| **Name of Judge:** |  |
| **Essential entry criteria:**Must answer yes to all | Member[[1]](#footnote-1) | Yes / No |
| Nomination is GDPR compliant[[2]](#footnote-2) | Yes / No |
| Used appropriate form | Yes / No |
| Has not won this award for this project before[[3]](#footnote-3) | Yes / No |
| **Scoring Key**(Do **not** use half points) | 0: Inadequate or inappropriate 1: Minimally achieved2: Moderately achieved3: Well achieved4: Fully achieved5: Exceeded expectations |
| 1. Strong rationale for the project: it is relevant and important
 | Score: # |
| 1. Clear details and description of the project (e.g. what is done, why it is done this way, evidence base, who does what)
 | Score: # |
| 1. Measurable and positive outcomes, including as appropriate: analysis of outcomes/findings; client/user/patient/student satisfaction; potential impact and/implications for the future of VR practice/research/education
 | Score: # |
| 1. The nomination should demonstrate how/why it should be considered innovative/high quality etc. What makes it outstanding to warrant nomination?
 | Score: # |
| **TOTAL:** |  **# (out of 20)** |

|  |
| --- |
| ***NB:*** *Testimonials and any other supporting evidence are not scored separately. They should be considered only in relation to how they support/evidence the degree of achievement in the 3 scored sections above. E.g. strong, clear and relevant testimonials will strengthen one or more of the scored components.*  |
| **From the guidance given to nominators:** **The Nomination:** should be no more than 1,000 words and include:* a short summary of the project (approx. 50 words)
* a rationale for the project (approx. 100 words)
* an overview of the project, including for example: what is done, why it is done this way, who is involved, what their roles are (approx. 250 words)
* Discussion of outcomes, including as appropriate: analysis of outcomes/findings; client/user/patient/student satisfaction; potential impact and/implications for the future of VR practice/research/education (approx. 600 words)

Overall, the projects in this category may include services, products, research/evaluation, or education programmes. The project should contribute to improving practice in some way or adding to the evidence base around VR. The nomination will provide clear detail regarding the project and highlight how/why it should be considered innovative/high quality. What makes it stand out from others to warrant this nomination? You should demonstrate measurable outcomes and evidence-based practice, wherever possible. The nomination can be supported by testimonials (see the guidance document re use of appendices). |

1. At least one of the nominees must be a member [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. All applications must conform with GDPR. Other than the nominee and any nominator, no individual should be identifiable within the nomination or the appendices (e.g. client/ patient/ customer/ service user/ colleague etc.) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Any initiative, research, or education project that has previously won, in either of these categories, cannot be reconsidered for a period of three years. However, the organisation and/or individual responsible are welcome to submit entries for other work. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)