|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **AWARD:** | **VR Team** |
| **Nominee:** |  |
| **Name of Judge:** |  |
| **Essential entry criteria:**Must answer yes to all | At least one Member[[1]](#footnote-1) | Yes / No |
| Nomination is GDPR compliant[[2]](#footnote-2) | Yes / No |
| Used appropriate form | Yes / No |
| Has **not** won this award before[[3]](#footnote-3) | Yes / No |
| **Scoring Key**(Do **not** use half points) | 0: Inadequate or inappropriate 1: Minimally achieved2: Moderately achieved3: Well achieved4: Fully achieved5: Exceeded expectations |
| 1. Clear outline of the team-based approach employed in this case/context: including what they deliver, who is involved and how they contribute.
 | Score: # |
| 1. A clear rationale for the approach, how the team works together, and in collaboration with others, to provide/design/deliver VR that is professional and effective.
 | Score: # |
| 1. Demonstrates an evidence-based approach with outcomes that are relevant and, as far as possible, measurable.
 | Score: # |
| **TOTAL:** |  **# (out of 15)** |

|  |
| --- |
| ***NB:*** *Testimonials and any other supporting evidence are not scored separately. They should be considered only in relation to how they support/evidence the degree of achievement in the 3 scored sections above. E.g. strong, clear and relevant testimonials will strengthen one or more of the scored components.*  |
| **From the guidance given to nominators:** The Nomination: should be no more than 1,000 words and include:* A rationale for the team-based approach employed in this case/ context (approx. 200 words)
* A clear outline of the team and how it works, including who is involved and how they contribute (approx. 600 words).
* What makes this team worthy of an award: how do they stand out as exceptional? (approx. 200 words)

Overall: there should be a focus on the teamwork and collaboration aspects and how they facilitate effective and professional VR. You should clearly demonstrate how the team works together and in collaboration with others in order to provide/design/deliver VR that is professional, effective and evidence based. Outcomes should be justified and, as far as possible, measurable. The nomination can be supported by testimonials (see the guidance document re use of appendices).  |

1. At least one of the nominees must be a member [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. All applications must conform with GDPR. Other than the nominee and any nominator, no individual should be identifiable within the nomination or the appendices (e.g. client/ patient/ customer/ service user/ colleague etc.) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. This award is open to individuals practicing in any aspect of VR in the UK including advisors, case managers and assessors. Previous winners in the practitioner category are not eligible to be nominated again in this category. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)